# RECEIVED

MAR 1 8 2009

ARIZONA STATE BOARD OF PHARMACY

TERRY GODDARD
 Attorney General
 (Firm State Bar No. 14000)

 ELIZABETH A. CAMPBELL
 Assistant Attorney General

State Bar No. 018311 1275 W. Washington, CIV/LES Phoenix, Arizona 85007-2997

Tel: (602) 542-7979 Fax: (602) 364-3202

Attorneys for the Arizona State Board of Pharmacy

BEFORE THE ARIZONA STATE BOARD OF PHARMACY

10 In the Matter of

CHRISTEL BIRD,

Holder of License No. 11521 As a Pharmacist In the State of Arizona Board Case No. 09-0028-PHR

CONSENT AGREEMENT FOR CIVIL PENALTY AND CONTINUING EDUCATION

14

7

8

9

11

12

13

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

2324

25

26

## **RECITALS**

In the interest of a prompt and judicious settlement of this case, consistent with the public interest, statutory requirements and the responsibilities of the Arizona State Board of Pharmacy ("Board") under A.R.S. § 32-1901, et. seq., Christel Bird ("Respondent"), holder of Pharmacist License Number 11521 in the State of Arizona, and the Board enter into the following Recitals, Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order ("Consent Agreement") as a final disposition of this matter.

1. Respondent has read and understands this Consent Agreement and has had the opportunity to discuss this Consent Agreement with an attorney, or has waived the opportunity to discuss this Consent Agreement with an attorney.

6

10

9

12

13

11

14 15

16

17 18

20 21

19

22.

23

24

- 2. Respondent understands that she has a right to a public administrative hearing concerning the above-captioned matter, at which hearing she could present evidence and cross examine witnesses. By entering into this Consent Agreement, Respondent knowingly and voluntarily relinquishes all right to such an administrative hearing, as well as rights of rehearing, review, reconsideration, appeal, judicial review or any other administrative and/or judicial action, concerning the matters set forth herein.
- 3. Respondent affirmatively agrees that this Consent Agreement shall be irrevocable.
- 4. Respondent understands that this Consent Agreement or any part of the agreement may be considered in any future disciplinary action by the Board against her.
- 5. Respondent understands this Consent Agreement deals with Board Complaint No. 3594 involving allegations of unprofessional conduct against Respondent. The investigation into these allegations against Respondent shall be concluded upon the Board's adoption of this Consent Agreement.
- 6. Respondent understands that this Consent Agreement does not constitute a dismissal or resolution of any other matters currently pending before the Board, if any, and does not constitute any waiver, express or implied, of the Board's statutory authority or jurisdiction regarding any other pending or future investigation, action or proceeding.
- 7. Respondent also understands that acceptance of this Consent Agreement does not preclude any other agency, subdivision, or officer of this State from instituting any other civil or criminal proceedings with respect to the conduct that is the subject of this Consent Agreement.
- 8. Respondent acknowledges and agrees that, upon signing this Consent Agreement and returning this document to the Board's Executive Director, she may not revoke her acceptance of the Consent Agreement or make any modifications to the

5 6

7 8

9

10 11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

document regardless of whether the Consent Agreement has been signed by the Executive Director. Any modification to this original document is ineffective and void unless mutually agreed by the parties in writing.

- 9. Respondent understands that the Consent Agreement shall not become effective unless and until adopted by the Board and signed by its Executive Director.
- 10. If a court of competent jurisdiction rules that any part of this Consent Agreement is void or otherwise unenforceable, the remainder of the Consent Agreement shall remain in full force and effect.
- 11. Respondent understands and agrees that if the Board does not adopt this Consent Agreement, she will not assert as a defense that the Board's consideration of this Consent Agreement constitutes bias, prejudice, prejudgment or other similar defenses.
- Respondent understands that this Consent Agreement is a public record that 12. may be publicly disseminated as a formal action of the Board and may be reported as required by law to the National Practitioner Data Bank and the Healthcare Integrity and Protection Data Bank.
- 13. Respondent understands that any violation of this Consent Agreement constitutes unprofessional conduct and may result in disciplinary action. A.R.S. §§ 32-1901.01(B)(20), -1927(A)(1).

### T

ţ	
1	ACCEPTED AND AGREED BY RESPONDENT
2	
3	Christil Bird D
4	Christel Bird
5	Subscribed and sworn to before me in the County of <u>YY Carica</u> this <u>12</u> day of <u>Warch</u> , 2009, by Christel Bird.
6	
7	"OFFICIAL SEAL" Gerrick S. Garrett Notary Public-Arizona NOTARY PUBLIC
8	Maricopa County
9	My Commission expires:
10	FINDINGS OF FACT
11	1. The Board is the duly constituted authority
	1. The Board is the duly constituted authority practice of pharmacy in the State of Arizona.
12	
12	practice of pharmacy in the State of Arizona.  2. Respondent is the holder of license number
12 13	practice of pharmacy in the State of Arizona.
12 13 14	practice of pharmacy in the State of Arizona.  2. Respondent is the holder of license number
111 12 13 14 15 16	practice of pharmacy in the State of Arizona.  2. Respondent is the holder of license number pharmacist in the State of Arizona.

uthority for licensing and regulating the

Dated: 3.12.09

- number 11521 to practice as a
- indings, Respondent worked as a Pharmacy") in Prescott Valley, Arizona.
- In July 2006 a patient had a prescription for MS Contin ER 15 mg filled at the Pharmacy. The prescription directed the patient to take 1 tablet by mouth once daily.
  - The prescription was misfiled with morphine sulfate ER 60 mg. 5.
- When the patient complained to her doctor that the pain medication was not 6. working, the doctor instructed that the patient take 2 tablets by mouth twice daily. The patient died as a result of respiratory depression.

25

24

18

19

20

21

22

23

7. Respondent performed the product verification (compared the prescription with the medication being dispensed).

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. The Board possesses jurisdiction over the subject matter and over Respondent pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1901 et seq.
- 2. The Board may discipline a pharmacist who has engaged in unprofessional conduct. A.R.S. § 32-1927(A)(1).
- 3. The conduct and circumstances described above constitutes unprofessional conduct pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1901.01(B)(2) (Violating any federal or state law, rule or regulation relating to the manufacture or distribution of drugs and devices or the practice of pharmacy).
- 4. The conduct and circumstances described above constitute unprofessional conduct pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1901.01(B)(10) (Violating a federal or state law or administrative rule relating to marijuana, prescription-only drugs, narcotics, dangerous drugs, controlled substances or precursor chemicals when determined by the board or by conviction in a federal or state court).
- 5. The conduct described above violated Arizona Administrative Code R4-23-402(A)(10)(b) (A pharmacist shall ensure that the data input is for the correct drug by verifying the drug name, strength, and dosage form).
- 6. The conduct described above violated Arizona Administrative Code R4-23-402(A)(11) (A pharmacist shall make a final accuracy check on the completed prescription medication).

## <u>ORDER</u>

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT within 90 days of the effective date of this Order Respondent shall:

- 1. Pay a civil penalty of \$1,000.00; and
- 2. Successfully complete <u>and</u> provide proof of successful completion to the Board of eight (8) contact hours (0.8 C.E.U.) of American Council on Pharmaceutical Education (ACPE) courses. The courses shall be pre-approved by Board staff, shall be limited to topics dealing with error prevention, and shall be in addition to the requirements of A.R.S. § 32-1936 and A.A.C. R4-23-204.

DATED this  $\frac{\mathcal{T}}{\mathcal{T}}$  day of  $\frac{\mathcal{M}}{\mathcal{T}}$ , 2009.

ARIZONA STATE BOARD OF PHARMACY

(Seal)

By: HAL WAND, R.Ph

Executive Director

1	ORIGINAL OF THE PROGING FILED this day of, 2009, with:
2	Arizona State Board of Pharmacy
3	1700 West Washington, Suite 250 Phoenix, Arizona 85007
4	EXECUTED COPY OF THE FOREGOING MAILED
5	BY CHATTED MAY , 2009, to:
6	Christel Bird
7	12206 W. Planada Lane Sun City, Arizona 85373
8	Respondent
9	EXECUTED COPYOF THE FOREGOING MAILED this day of 2009, to:
10	Elizabeth A. Campbell
11	Assistant Attorney General 1275 W. Washington Street, CIV/LES
12	Phoenix, Arizona 85007 Attorneys for the State of Arizona
13	Attorneys for the State of Arizona
14	#410020
15	14110420
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
1	